Detection of Awareness during Anesthesia: Bispectral IndexTM (BISTM) or Patient State Index (PSI)?

Schneider G., Grineisen Y., Heindl B.K. Kochs E.F. Anesthesiology 2002; 96: A312

Introduction

Patient state index (PSI, Physiometrix Inc.) and bispectral indexTM (BISTM, Aspect Medical Systems, Inc.) are both EEG-derived parameters correlating with the hypnotic component of anesthesia [1, 2]. The present study evaluates the ability of BISTM and PSI to separate unconscious from conscious patients and to detect a period of awareness.

Methods

Following written informed consent, 40 unpremedicated patients scheduled for surgery under general anesthesia were enrolled into the HIC-approved study. Standard monitoring parameters, BIS™ and PSI were continuously recorded. Patients were randomly assigned to one of the following anesthetic regimens: 1: sevoflurane (S) + remifentanil (R) (≤0.1 mcg kg-1 min-1), 2: S + R (≥0.2 mcg kg-1 min-1), 3: Propofol (P) + R (≤0.1 mcg kg-1 min-1), 4: P + R (≥0.2 mcg kg-1 min-1). From induction of anesthesia, every 30 sec patients were asked to squeeze the investigator's hand. R infusion was started. In groups 1 and 2, S was administered via mask, in groups 3 and 4 P was injected (50 mg, followed by 20 mg boluses until loss of consciousness (LOC1) occurred). Following LOC1, circulation of the right forearm was separated from the body by a tourniquet for 5 min, maintaining ability to follow command while succinylcholine (1.0 mg/kg) was given and patients were intubated. Following intubation, P or S was stopped until patients followed command (return of consciousness, ROC1). P or S was re-started, LOC2 occurred. At the end of surgery, drugs were discontinued. Following ROC2, patients were extubated. After compensation for index calculation time, BIS™ and PSI at LOC1+2 were compared with BIS™ and PSI at ROC1+2 (student t). Prediction probability [3] was calculated from BIS™ and PSI values 30 sec before (time interval of command) and immediately following LOC1+2 and ROC1+2.

Results

Figure 1 shows BISTM and PSI values at LOC1+2 and ROC 1+2. At LOC1+2, BISTM (66±17) and PSI (55±23) were significantly lower than at ROC1+2 (BISTM: 79±14; PSI: 77±18, mean±SD, p<0.01). Prediction probability was 0.68±0.03 (BIS) and 0.69±0.03 (PSI).

Discussion

Despite of significant differences between mean values at awareness and mean values at unconsciousness with both BISTM and PSI, both parameters may not be sufficient to detect awareness in an individual patient. This is mainly due to inter-individual variability and is reflected by a prediction probability of less than 70%.

References: [1] Br J Anaesth 87: 421-8, 2001 [2] Anesthesiology 86, 836-47, 1997 [3] Anesthesiology 84, 38-51, 1996.